Engineering bacterial thiosulfate and tetrathionatase sensors for detecting gut inflammation
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Abstract

There is a groundswell of interest in using genetically engineered sensor bacteria to study gut microbiota pathways, and diagnose or treat associated diseases. Here, we computationally identify the first biological thiosulfate sensor and an improved tetrathionatase sensor, both two-component systems from marine Shewanella species, and validate them in laboratory Escherichia coli. Then, we port these sensors into a gut-adapted probiotic E. coli strain, and develop a method based upon oral gavage and flow cytometry of colon and fecal samples to demonstrate that colon inflammation (colitis) activates the thiosulfate sensor in mice harboring native gut microbiota. Our thiosulfate sensor may have applications in diagnostic or therapeutics. Finally, our approach can be replicated for a wide range of bacterial sensors and should thus enable a new class of minimally invasive studies of gut microbiota pathways.
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Introduction

The mammalian colon (gut) plays important roles in metabolism (Tremaroli & Backhed, 2012), and immune (Hooper et al., 2012) and brain function (Mayer et al., 2014). Gut processes are orchestrated by metabolic and signaling interactions between host cells and the dense and diverse community of resident bacteria (the microbiota). Disruptions in these interactions due to host genetics, environmental agents, or changes to the composition or physiological activity of the microbiota are linked to a spectrum of diseases including obesity (Ridaura et al., 2013), inflammation (Winter et al., 2013a), cancer (Schulz et al., 2014), and depression (Foster & McVey Neufeld, 2013). However, due to the complexity and relative inaccessibility of the gut environment, and the challenges in constructing realistic in vitro gut models, these processes remain poorly understood.

Genetically engineered sensor bacteria have untapped potential as tools for analyzing gut pathways. Bacteria have evolved sensors of a large number of gut-relevant molecules. Such sensors could be repurposed and used to control the expression of reporter genes, enabling minimally invasive measurements of gut metabolites. In three previous studies, gut-adapted bacteria engineered to express colorimetric and luminescent reporter genes under the control of different chemically responsive transcriptional regulatory systems (sensors) were administered to mice by oral gavage and used to detect the corresponding chemicals in the gut via reporter assays of fecal samples (Drouault et al., 2002; Kotula et al., 2014; Même et al., 2015). However, the chemicals sensed in these studies—tetracycline, isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG), and various sugars—are not produced within the gut environment or linked to gut pathways, but were administered to the animals via the diet. In a fourth study, a sensor bacterium was designed to measure host fucose levels in response to Toll-like receptor activation via fluorescence microscopy (Pickard et al., 2014). However, the mice in this study, and the three previous studies, were either raised in germ-free conditions or pre-treated with antibiotics to clear the native microbiota prior to administration of the engineered sensor strains. This sweeping perturbation has major effects on gut physiology, making these methods poorly suited to the analysis of gut pathways. In light of these studies, two major current challenges are to (i) engineer bacterial strains that sense other metabolites produced in the gut, and (ii) develop methods to assay reporter gene expression from those strains in animals with an intact microbiota.

Gut sulfur metabolism is linked to inflammation (colitis) via poorly understood microbe–host interactions. Sulfate-reducing bacteria (SRB) present in the colon produce hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) from oxidized sulfur species derived from the host and diet, a process that has been suggested to be involved in colitis (Roediger et al., 1997; Blachier et al., 2010). At high concentrations, H₂S can be toxic to host cells due to its ability to outcompete O₂ for metal...
cofactor binding in cytochrome c oxidase, and thereby prevent oxidative phosphorylation (Petersen, 1977; Nicholls & Kim, 1982; Khan et al., 1990). Additionally, H$_2$S inhibits butyrate oxidation by colonic epithelial cells in vitro (Roediger et al., 1993; Moore et al., 1997), the preferred method of energy production by these cells (Roediger, 1982), which has also been observed in biopsies of patients with ulcerative colitis (Chapman et al., 1994). However, attempts to directly link H$_2$S to inflammation have generated conflicting results due to difficulties in measuring H$_2$S within complex biological samples and challenges in using chemical donors to recreate physiological H$_2$S levels in vitro (Pitcher et al., 2000; Huycke & Gaskins, 2004; Nagy et al., 2014).

Thiosulfate (S$_2$O$_2$S$^-$) and tetrathionate (S$_4$O$_6^{2-}$) are appealing targets for studying the link between gut sulfur metabolism and inflammation. Host enzymes detoxify H$_2$S to thiosulfate (Levitt et al., 1999; Jackson et al., 2012; Vitvitsky et al., 2015). Although enterobacteria and SRB can utilize thiosulfate as a terminal electron acceptor (TEA) in anaerobic respiration, the reaction is energetically unfavorable and unlikely to occur in the gut due to the availability of more desirable substrates (Barrett & Clark, 1987; Stoffels et al., 2012). Furthermore, a Salmonella typhimurium mouse model, Winter and colleagues have shown that reactive oxygen species (ROS) produced by the host during inflammation convert thiosulfate to tetrathionate, which this pathogen consumes to establish a foothold for infection (Winter et al., 2010). Thus, colonic thiosulfate and tetrathionate levels may correlate with pro-inflammatory conditions. However, thiosulfate has not been evaluated as an inflammation biomarker and tetrathionate has not been studied in other inflammation models.

Here, we set out to engineer gut bacteria to sense and report thiosulfate and tetrathionate levels in the widely used dextran sodium sulfate (DSS) mouse model of colitis. However, there is no known genetically encoded thiosulfate sensor and the only known genetically encoded tetrathionate sensor is the TtrSR two-component system (TCS) from S. typhimurium (Hensel et al., 1999; Price-Carter et al., 2001). This TCS comprises TtrS, a membrane-bound sensor histidine kinase (SK) that phosphorylates the cytoplasmic response regulator (RR) TtrR in the presence of tetrathionate. Phosphorylated TtrR (TtrR-P) activates transcription of the tetrathionate reductase operon, ttrBCA, via the ttrB promoter (P$_{ttrB}$). However, P$_{ttrB}$ is repressed by O$_2$ and nitrate via the global regulator FNR and an unknown pathway, respectively (Price-Carter et al., 2001). Furthermore, FNR is required for transcription from P$_{ttrB}$ (Price-Carter et al., 2001), eliminating the possibility of avoiding O$_2$ cross-repression by deleting this repressor. Though gut O$_2$ levels are incompletely understood and an area of active study, they may be relatively high near the epithelial mucosal boundary due to proximity to the blood. Furthermore, gut nitrate levels have been shown to be elevated during inflammation (Winter et al., 2013b). Thus, the unwanted cross-regulation of S. typhimurium TtrSR could comprise its performance as a gut tetrathionate sensor.

In this study, we computationally identify a novel TCS from the marine bacterium Shewanella halifaxensis HAW-EB4 and characterize it in laboratory Escherichia coli, demonstrating that it is the first known biological thiosulfate sensor. We similarly identify a TtrSR homolog from the marine bacterium Shewanella baltica OS195 that is only weakly repressed by O$_2$ and not repressed by nitrate in E. coli. We optimize the performance of both sensors in the probiotic strain E. coli Nissle 1917 and gavage these engineered strains into mice without antibiotic pre-treatment. We then use flow cytometry to detect the engineered bacteria among the native gut microbiota in colon and fecal samples and quantify sensor outputs. Using histologic scoring, we demonstrate that our thiosulfate sensor is activated by colon inflammation, suggesting thiosulfate may be a novel biomarker and that our sensor bacteria have potential as a non-invasive diagnostic of colitis. Our tetrathionate sensor has low in vitro activity even at high inflammation levels, suggesting this molecule may not be produced in the DSS model or that it is rapidly degraded by the gut microbiota.

## Results

### Bioinformatic identification of candidate thiosulfate- and tetrathionate-sensing TCSs

*Salmonella typhimurium* TtrS likely binds tetrathionate via a periplasmic sensing domain with similarity to the *E. coli* phosphotransferase-binding protein PhnD (Appendix Fig S1A). PhnD is involved in active transport of alkylphosphonates across the inner membrane (Metcalf & Wanner, 1993). Thiosulfate is chemically similar to alkylphosphonates and tetrathionate (i.e., a $\sim$2 charge, three oxygens around a central atom, and a similar molecular geometry), and could be sensed by a similar ligand-binding domain. Additionally, *ttrSR* resides adjacent to a three-gene cluster encoding a tetrathionate reductase in the genome (Hensel et al., 1999) (Appendix Fig S1B). Thus, we hypothesized that a bioinformatic search for a TCS containing a sensor kinase (SK) with a PhnD-like sensor domain located near a thiosulfate reductase might reveal an uncharacterized thiosulfate sensor.

We searched the UniProtKB sequence database for all SKs with PhnD-like sensor domains, resulting in 838 proteins (Materials and Methods and Dataset EV1). Then, we enriched for unique SKs by eliminating those > 70% identical in sequence to any other protein in the list, yielding 154 candidates. One hundred and thirty-one of these SKs reside adjacent to an RR in their native genomic context, indicating a likely signaling interaction between the two proteins. Of these putative TCSs, 13 reside adjacent to predicted thiosulfate utilization genes, while 18 reside adjacent to predicted tetrathionate utilization genes (Dataset EV1).

Based on three lines of reasoning, we selected *Shal_3128/9* from *S. halifaxensis* HAW-EB4 (hereafter *S. halifaxensis*) and *Shal_195_3859/8* from *S. baltica* OS195 (hereafter *S. baltica*), as candidate thiosulfate and tetrathionate sensors for further analysis. First, *Shewanella* sp. couple energy production to the reduction of a wide range of TEAs including thiosulfate (Burns & DiChristina, 2009) and tetrathionate (Myers & Nealson, 1988; Qiu et al., 2013). Second, *Shewanella* and *E. coli* are both γ-proteobacteria, increasing the likelihood that TCSs can be successfully ported between them. Third, a majority of known *Shewanella* reductase promoters are not regulated by FNR or nitrate (Maier & Myers, 2001; Wu et al., 2015), reducing the chance of unwanted cross-regulation in *E. coli*.

*Shal_3128/9* is a thiosulfate sensor (ThsSR)

The candidate thiosulfate-sensing SK *Shal_3128* and predicted FixJ-like RR *Shal_3129* reside adjacent to a 342-bp intergenic region
induction again activates sfGFP expression, but this activation is reduced by induction of Shal_3128 (Appendix Fig S5A). Thiosulfate increases sfGFP in a manner strongly dependent upon Shal_3129 and Shal_3128 expression (Appendix Fig S5B) with an optimal dynamic range (ratio of sfGFP in the presence versus absence of thiosulfate) of 21 ± 2 fold (0 mM thiosulfate, 670 ± 100 MEFL; 5 mM thiosulfate, 13,600 ± 2,080 MEFL) (Fig 2B and Appendix Fig S5C).

To validate that the observed responses are due to canonical TCS signaling rather than an alternative pathway, we independently introduced four perturbations to Shal_3128/9. Specifically, we mutated the conserved catalytic histidine (Shal_3128 H372) and phosphorylating aspartate (Shal_3129 D57) to non-functional alamines, eliminated the Shal_3128 expression plasmid, and deleted the Shal_3129 DNA binding domain. Each of these perturbations abolishes thiosulfate activation (Fig 2B). Taken together, these results indicate that Shal_3128 encodes a bifunctional SK that dephosphorylates and phosphorylates Shal_3129 in the absence and presence of thiosulfate, respectively. Accordingly, we renamed Shal_3129 and Shal_3128 thsR and thsS for thiosulfate response regulator and sensor, respectively.

**ThsR sensitivity and specificity**

Sensitivity and specificity are desirable properties of engineered sensors. To examine sensitivity, we characterized the dose–response relationship, or transfer function, of ThsSR for thiosulfate. ThsSR output increases in a manner well fit by an activating Hill function with half-maximal activation (k_1/2) at 280 ± 10 μM and Hill coefficient (n) 1.8 ± 0.1 (Fig 2C). To examine specificity, we exposed the ThsR-expressing strain to a panel of eight alternative TEAs that *Shewanella* use for anaerobic respiration and that may be present in the gut (sulfate, sulfite, tetrathionate, DMSO, nitrate, nitrite, TMAO, and fumarate) at a concentration well above what is expected in the gut (10 mM). ThsSR does not respond to any of these alternative ligands (Fig 2D) several of which are chemically similar, thus demonstrating high specificity.

Thiosulfate has poor energy generating potential, and in some cases, facultative anaerobes repress reductases for less preferred substrates in the presence of more desirable substrates (Gunsalus, 1992). Thus, we hypothesized that ThsR might be repressed by more favorable TEAs used in anaerobic respiration. To test this hypothesis, we simultaneously exposed the ThsR-expressing strain to 5 mM thiosulfate and 10 mM of each of the eight alternative TEAs (Appendix Fig S6), all of which have higher energy generating potential than thiosulfate. While six have no effect, sulfite and tetrathionate inhibit thiosulfate activation (Appendix Fig S6A). We analyzed the corresponding “repression transfer functions”, which reveal that these ligands inhibit ThsR by up to 94% and 87%, with half-maximal inhibition at 390 ± 10 μM and 550 ± 20 μM, respectively (Appendix Fig S6B). We performed Schild plot analysis to evaluate the mechanism of inhibition, but the data are inconclusive (Appendix Fig S7).

**Identification of *P*_phsA342 regulatory elements**

*P*_phsA342 contains a predicted promoter downstream of 18-bp direct repeat sequences separated by a consensus cAMP repressor protein.
Each 18-bp element contains a 6-bp inverted repeat (TAATTGTGGTTTTACCAAT), resembling a known FixJ operator site (Kurashima-Ito et al., 2005). Using a series of 5’ truncations, we determined that the first 151 bp, including the first 18-bp element, are dispensable (Appendix Fig S2B). On the other hand, truncation of the promoter through the CRP operator or mutagenesis of the CRP binding motif reduces transcriptional output and thiosulfate response (Appendix Fig S2B). Furthermore, truncation of the promoter through the second 18-bp element, or mutation of either 6-bp inverted repeat, abolishes thiosulfate activation (Appendix Fig S2B). These results indicate that the second 18-bp element is the primary ThsR operator and that the CRP site plays a role in thiosulfate activation.

To further evaluate the role of CRP, we next examined whether ThsSR is affected by glucose. ThsSR is activated by thiosulfate in the presence of glucose, but absolute transcriptional output (0 mM thiosulfate, 280 ± 60 MEFL; 5 mM thiosulfate, 1,390 ± 340 MEFL) and activation (4.9 ± 0.2 fold) are reduced, similar to the levels observed when disrupting the CRP site in the absence of glucose (Appendix Fig S8). These data suggest that CRP binding is required for full activation of PphsA342, consistent with known anaerobic respiration pathways in Shewanella (Saffarini et al., 2003; Wu et al., 2015).
Characterization and optimization of the tetrathionate sensor Sbal195_3859/8 (Shewanella baltica TtrSR)

We next characterized the putative tetrathionate sensor that we had identified computationally. The Sbal_3859/8 operon, encoding a ttrSR homolog, is separated from the predicted tetrathionate reductase operon ttrBCA by a 344-bp intergenic region (hereafter $P_{ttrB344}$; Fig 3A and Appendix Fig S9). As before, we cloned aTc-inducible RR (Sbal195_3858, hereafter $S. baltica$ TtrR, pKD226) and IPTG-inducible SK (Sbal195_3859 hereafter $S. baltica$ TtrS, pKD227) plasmids (Appendix Fig S10) and validated that $P_{ttrB344}$ is a Sbal195_3858-activated promoter by overexpressing the RR (Appendix Fig S11). We next demonstrated that 1 mM tetrathionate results in a 30-fold increase of sfGFP levels at the best expression level (from 23 ± 2 MEFL to 680 ± 250 MEFL) (Fig 3D), indicating $S. baltica$ TtrSR is indeed a tetrathionate sensor. In the absence of tetrathionate, increased expression of $S. baltica$ TtrS decreases $S. baltica$ TtrR-induced promoter activation, suggesting the former can also function as a phosphatase to modulate signaling (Appendix Fig S12).

$P_{ttrB344}$ contains a near-consensus FNR binding site and numerous repeat elements that could serve as operator sites (Appendix Fig S9A). To eliminate unnecessary and possibly detrimental sequence elements, we first screened a library of 500 and 300 $P_{ttrB344}$ truncations. We identified an 85-bp minimal promoter ($P_{ttrB185-269}$) with reduced leakiness and markedly improved expression range (0 mM tetrathionate, 83 ± 4 MEFL; 1 mM tetrathionate, 3,730 ± 470 MEFL) relative to the full-length intergenic region (Fig 3D).
therefore used this truncated promoter for all subsequent experiments. Hereafter, we do not subtract E. coli autofluorescence from S. baltica TtrSR data as it is indistinguishable from the low sfGFP expression levels observed from this promoter in the absence of tetrathionate. Though most DNA upstream of the putative FNR binding site can be deleted with minimal effect, truncation into this site reduces tetrathionate activation (Appendix Fig S9B), suggesting FNR plays a role in S. baltica TtrSR activation. Within P_{ttrB185-269}, we identified three FixJ-like inverted repeats that may be S. baltica TtrR binding sites (187-ATTGNNNNNNNNNNCAAT-207, 207-TCC ACNNNNNNNNCTGAG-225, and 254-TTTACAGNNNNNCTGTAA A-272) (Appendix Fig S9C). However, our truncation and mutagenesis studies to identify the TtrR operator site are inconclusive (Appendix Fig S9D and E).

cAMP repressor protein has previously been shown to recognize and activate an FNR binding site (Sawers et al., 1997), suggesting the potential for glucose regulation of P_{ttrB185}. To explore this possibility, we tested the sensitivity of bacteria expressing S. baltica TtrSR to glucose. Though glucose decreases absolute transcriptional output, bacteria expressing this sensor are still activated by tetrathionate (0 mM tetrathionate, 81 ± 6 MEFL; 1 mM tetrathionate, 425 ± 120 MEFL) (Appendix Fig S13).

Using the same set of inactivating mutations as before, we validated that the tetrathionate response is due to canonical TCS phospho-signaling through S. baltica TtrSR (Fig 3E). We also measured the transfer function, revealing that S. baltica TtrSR responds to tetrathionate in a sigmoidal manner with greater sensitivity than ThsSR for thiosulfate (k_{1/2} = 50 ± 3 μM) but a similar Hill coefficient (n = 1.5 ± 0.1; Fig 3F). Using the alternative TEA panel, we demonstrated that S. baltica TtrSR is highly specific to tetrathionate (Fig 4D and Appendix Fig S14). Finally, unlike ThsSR, S. baltica TtrSR is not inhibited by any of the alternative TEAs (Appendix Fig S14).

Optimizing ThsSR and Shewanella baltica TtrSR for the gut environment

We performed the above sensor development studies anaerobically, in monoculture, in a domesticated laboratory strain, and using chemical inducers to optimize SK and RR expression levels. However, the mammalian gut has relatively little oxygen, contains a dense and diverse microbiota, is inhospitable to domesticated strains, and is not amenable to the use of chemical inducers. Therefore, we set out to adapt our sensors to the gut environment.

First, to eliminate the use of chemical inducers, we synthesized two paired plasmid libraries wherein the SK and RR for each sensor are expressed to different levels from constitutive promoters and ribosome binding sites (RBSs) of varying strengths (Appendix Figs S15 and S16). Then, we combinatorially transformed each paired plasmid library into the human probiotic strain E. coli Nissle 1917 (hereafter Nissle), and measured activation by the cognate ligand in aerobic conditions. The best ThsSR and S. baltica TtrSR plasmid combinations result in 7 ± 2 fold activation (0 mM thiosulfate, 290 ± 30 MEFL; 5 mM thiosulfate, 2,050 ± 500 MEFL) (Appendix Fig S15) and 37 ± 7 fold activation (0 mM tetrathionate, 87 ± 9 MEFL; 1 mM tetrathionate, 3,220 ± 630 MEFL) (Appendix Fig S16), respectively.

To enable detection of our sensor bacteria among the native microbiota, we added a strong mCherry expression cassette to each optimized RR plasmid. We then re-measured ligand activation, which revealed that this alteration does not change the performance of either sensor (Appendix Figs S15 and S16).

Then, we analyzed the performance of each Nissle sensor strain in anaerobic conditions in vitro (Materials and Methods). Interestingly, the ThsSR Nissle strain (Fig 4A and Appendix Fig S17) exhibits 430 ± 30 MEFL and 19,200 ± 5,200 MEFL in the absence and presence of thiosulfate in these conditions (45 ± 13 fold activation), a sixfold improvement relative to aerobic conditions, even without subtracting Nissle autofluorescence which should increase the dynamic range estimate (Appendix Fig S15). However, anaerobic growth reduces the dynamic range of S. baltica TtrSR in Nissle due to elevated sfGFP in the absence of tetrathionate (Appendix Fig S16). This unwanted effect likely results from elevated TtrR concentrations. To recover the desired dynamic range, we screened a second plasmid library wherein S. baltica TtrR was expressed from weaker RBSs. We identified a variant (Fig 4D and Appendix Fig S18) with very low sfGFP (238 ± 24 MEFL) in the absence of tetrathionate and high sfGFP (19,800 ± 670 MEFL) in its presence (84 ± 9 fold increase, with no autofluorescence subtraction) (Appendix Fig S16). Overall, our efforts yielded gut-optimized sensors with similar low and high outputs (and dynamic range) as the initial in vitro-optimized versions (Fig 4B and E). Finally, anaerobic growth results in a 17-fold (Fig 4F) increase in sensitivity of the re-optimized S. baltica TtrSR toward tetrathionate, possibly due to a reduction in FNR binding to P_{ttrB185-269} relative to aerobic conditions.

To examine whether our sensor bacteria function in the complex colon environment, whole colons were excised from healthy mice, tied, and injected with sensor bacteria and either 0 mM or 5 mM thiosulfate or 0 mM or 1 mM tetrathionate. After 6 h of incubation in DMEM, we collected the colon contents, homogenized the samples, filtered them to remove large particles, treated them with a translational inhibitor, and incubated them aerobically to allow sfGFP and mCherry to mature (Materials and Methods and Appendix Fig S19). Finally, we analyzed sfGFP expression by flow cytometry, using mCherry expression to identify our sensor bacteria among the native microbiota (Appendix Fig S20).

Each ligand activates its corresponding sensor, and these responses are attenuated when the TCSs are inactivated by mutation (Appendix Figs S21 and S22). Absolute sfGFP levels in the ligand activated state are attenuated in the colons relative to the in vitro experiments, especially for ThsSR. Colons injected with thiosulfate or tetrathionate smelled strongly of sulfide after 6 h of incubation, indicating bacterial reduction of the sulfur-containing metabolites and the potential for inhibition of ThsSR by metabolically produced sulfite. Additionally, the high levels of glucose present in DMEM may have partially inhibited promoter output, consistent with our in vitro experiments. Nonetheless, these results indicate that our sensors function in the complex colon environment.

**ThsSR is activated by gut inflammation**

Next, we used our gut-optimized sensor strains to detect thiosulfate and tetrathionate in healthy and diseased mice (Fig 5A and Materials and Methods). We induced inflammation with DSS, one of the
most commonly used models for studying colitis (Chassaing et al., 2014). In vitro control experiments demonstrate that neither ThsSR nor S. baltica TtrSR responds to DSS or its sulfate moiety, and the presence of DSS does not inhibit sensor performance (Appendix Fig S23). Mice were administered either control drinking water or drinking water plus 3% DSS for 5 days. On day five, we orally gavaged the control and DSS-treated groups with 10^9 bacteria expressing either ThsSR (n = 14), S. baltica TtrSR (n = 8), or one of the negative controls [ThsSR (D57A) (n = 14) or S. baltica TtrSR (D55A) (n = 8)]. Six hours later, we collected fecal, distal colon, and proximal colon samples.

sfGFP expression from the ThsSR strain is significantly higher in fecal, distal colon, and proximal colon samples of DSS-treated mice relative to healthy controls (P < 0.01) (Fig 5B, and Appendix Figs S24 and S25), while that from the ThsSR (D57A) strain is consistently low in both healthy and DSS-treated mice (Fig 5C). These results demonstrate that ThsSR can be activated in a living mouse gut and indicate that thiosulfate may be elevated upon DSS treatment. Additionally, sfGFP levels measured in fecal samples are very similar to those measured in both the proximal and distal colon samples, suggesting that our fecal sampling method can be used to non-invasively analyze in vivo metabolite levels.

Next, we used histologic scoring to quantify inflammation levels in the colon of each mouse gavaged with ThsSR and ThsSR (D57A). Briefly, two blinded histopathologists assigned a value to the extent of epithelial damage and inflammatory infiltration in the mucosa, submucosa, and muscularis/serosa, resulting in an overall score from 0 (no inflammation) to 36 (maximal inflammation) (Chassaing et al., 2014). Water-treated animals exhibited low inflammation while DSS-treated animals had elevated inflammation with areas of focal ulceration (Appendix Fig S26A). We observe a weak correlation between fluorescence output and histopathology score for the wild-type sensor but not the inactive D57A sensor (Appendix Fig S27). Notably, four of the DSS-treated mice showed no ThsSR
activation in any tissue tested despite elevated colonic inflammation. Three of these mice were the sole occupants of a single cage, suggesting cage-level variability in ThsSR function. Finally, we evaluated the diagnostic performance of the ThsSR sensor to predict DSS treatment by generating a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve (Appendix Fig S28). The area under the curve (AuROC) is 0.8692, reflecting a low false-positive rate.

_Shewanella baltica_ TtrSR output is consistently low, and similar to _S. baltica_ TtrSR (D55A), in all mice (Fig 5D and E). Mice treated with DSS had elevated inflammation relative to healthy controls and similar histologic scores as the DSS-treated mice given the ThsSR strain (Appendix Fig S26B). These results suggest that either our engineered _S. baltica_ TtrSR construct does not function in _vivo_ or tetrathionate concentration in the lumen of DSS-treated mice, where our sensor bacteria likely reside in this 6-h protocol, is below the ~1 μM limit of detection (Fig 4F).

**Discussion**

We have discovered and validated the first genetically encoded thiosulfate and tetrathionate sensors, which were used in two previous studies (Kotula et al, 2014; Mimee et al, 2015). Similar to our approach, one group recently administered mCherry-labeled GFP reporter bacteria to the _Shewanella_ respiration regulatory network relative to other facultative anaerobes, whereby gene expression of anaerobic reductases is coordinated by CRP rather than the oxygen regulator FNR or the redox regulator ArcBA (Saffarini et al, 2003; Wu et al, 2015).

We do not anticipate that the inhibitory effects of glucose or sulfate will impact ThsSR for our purposes, because the concentration of both of these molecules is expected to be low in the colon (Wilson, 1962; Mishanina et al, 2015). Indeed, glucose repression could be exploited as the absence of glucose could serve as a signal that sensor bacteria are in the colon rather than in _in vitro_ growth media or further upstream in the gastrointestinal tract. However, the elevated tetrathionate levels previously observed in _S. typhimurium_ inflammation could repress ThsSR, leading to false-negative readouts. Thus, tetrathionate must be measured to ensure faithful thiosulfate reporting by ThsSR, because ThsSR is activated, and _S. baltica_ TtrSR activity is very low, we suspect there is no appreciable tetrathionate in our _in vivo_ experiments.

Our flow cytometry-based method enables reliable measurement of the sfGFP expression levels of engineered sensor bacteria residing within complex colon and fecal samples. This method has several benefits compared to existing alternatives. First, unlike a previous approach involving luciferase measurements of bulk fecal samples (Mimee et al, 2015), flow cytometry enables measurement of bacterial populations at single cell resolution, providing far more information about the true response of the sensor and potentially the gut environment. Additionally, our protocol does not require the use of digital-like genetic memory circuits, which were used in two previous studies (Kotula et al, 2014; Mimee et al, 2015).
germ-free mice and used fluorescence microscopy to measure GFP, and thus gut metabolite levels (Pickard et al., 2014). However, due to the relatively small numbers of bacteria that can be analyzed via microscopy, this method is not likely to be extensible to experiments involving an intact microbiota. On the other hand, our approach is compatible with the native gut microbiota, increasing its physiological relevance.

Potential drawbacks of our method include the requirement for flow cytometry equipment to measure fluorescence and the maturation time required for chromophore formation. We show that sfGFP and mCherry maturation is complete after 1 h in the presence of O₂ and stable for a minimum of 2 h at 37°C in the presence of a translation inhibitor (Appendix Fig S20). However, other reporter genes enabling colorimetric or luminescence assays could also be used if desired, by adapting previous protocols (Drouault et al., 2002; Kotula et al., 2014; Mimee et al., 2015). Because of the short incubation time (6 h) and presence of the native microbiota, our sensor bacteria likely do not colonize the epithelial mucosal boundary. Thus, sfGFP output from our sensor bacteria likely reflects the luminal concentration of the target metabolite. Finally, the correspondence between sfGFP fluorescence in fecal and colon samples suggests that our method can be used for non-invasive analysis of metabolites in the colon lumen.

The mouse DSS model is one of the most widely used colitis models because of its ease of use and similarity to human ulcerative colitis symptoms (Chassaing et al., 2014). DSS administration causes significant inflammation of the large intestine (Okayasu et al., 1990) and major disruption of the mucus layer protecting the epithelial lining from pathogen invasion and pro-inflammatory metabolites (Johansson et al., 2010, 2014). Increased accessibility to the inner mucus could allow gut bacteria access to elevated levels of the heavily glycosylated, sulfated, and cysteine-rich mucin proteins that are the predominant component of intestinal mucus (Johansson et al., 2011). Gut bacteria have evolved the ability to desulfate complex dietary and host polysaccharides to facilitate glycan metabolism (Benjdia et al., 2011), which provides liberated sulfate for other gut bacteria to exploit (Rey et al., 2013) and has been implicated in colitis (Hickey et al., 2015). Both cysteine and sulfate from host mucins can be metabolized to H₂S, which is rapidly converted to thioulate via enzymatic detoxification in epithelial cells and red blood cells that enter the colon during ulceration. It is worth noting that though DSS has been shown to be resistant to degradation by mouse cecal contents (Kitajima et al., 2002), it is possible that some members of the microbiota have the potential to desulfate and/or metabolize DSS, similar to what has been observed for other better studied glycans. We hypothesize that ThsSR is activated by DSS-induced inflammation due to increased gut thioulate levels arising via H₂S detoxification, either as a result of mucin degradation or DSS metabolism. If increased H₂S burden is involved in gut inflammation pathogenesis, thiosulfate could serve as a general biomarker beyond the DSS model. Future studies of sulfur metabolism and its role in colitis pathology and mouse gut inflammation models will be enlightening.

Tetrahionate has previously been shown to be elevated in the colonic mucosa of mice infected with a tetrathionate reductase-deficient S. typhimurium strain, an alternative inflammation model (Winter et al., 2010). In this study, tetrathionate was generated in a ROS-dependent process during inflammation, likely by oxidation of thiosulfate present in the gut. Given the increased thiosulfate measured in our experiments, we also expected to detect elevated tetrathionate in inflamed animals. However, tetrathionate may be rapidly consumed by the microbiota at the mucosal site of production, resulting in low luminal levels. Protein engineering or genetic memory circuits (Kotula et al., 2014; Mimee et al., 2015) could be used to increase S. baltica TrtSR sensitivity, which could enable detection of lower tetrathionate levels using our method. Additionally, modifications to our protocol enabling the analysis of sensor bacteria that have colonized near the epithelial wall may provide a better readout of tetrathionate concentrations produced during inflammation. Alternatively, it is possible that tetrathionate levels are simply not increased in the DSS model.

Our work demonstrates that engineered sensor bacteria designed to sense and respond to gut metabolites can be used to non-invasively detect colonic inflammation in living mammals. When combined with altered diets (e.g., low sulfur), other inflammation models, more detailed time-resolved assays, in vivo imaging methods (Contag et al., 1995), or fluorescence microscopy of tissue samples from sacrificed animals (Earle et al., 2015; Geva-Zatorsky et al., 2015), our sensors could be used to study gut sulfur metabolism and disease with unprecedented resolution. TCSs that sense TMAO (Baraquet et al., 2006), nitrate (Rabin & Stewart, 1993), and other TEAs linked to inflammation (Winter et al., 2013a) could also be used to study the dynamics of those compounds. Non-TCS sensors that detect inflammation linked compounds such as nitric oxide could also be used (Archer et al., 2012). Furthermore, sfGFP could be replaced with colorimetric reporter genes to engineer inexpensive, non-invasive diagnostics, or anti-inflammatory genes to develop “synthetic probiotics” with tissue-specific therapeutic activity (Tabor & Ellington, 2003; Sonnenburg & Fischbach, 2011; Holmes et al., 2012; Tabor, 2012). This work also demonstrates that TCS sensors can be mined from genome databases, characterized, and functionally expressed in heterologous bacterial hosts. Many of the thousands of currently uncharacterized TCSs, likely responsive to molecules that span great chemical diversity, could likewise be harnessed for sensing applications in bacterial hosts suited to a wide variety of environments.

Materials and Methods

Bioinformatics analysis

A full alignment based on the phosphonate-bd Pfam family (PF12974) was downloaded from the Pfam website and an HMM search (Finn et al., 2011) was performed (4/2014) using the alignment queried against the UniProtKB database with default settings. Results were filtered to include proteins containing the domain ordering characteristic of TtrSR from S. typhimurium: a “phosphonate-bd” ligand-binding domain, followed by a “HisKA” histidine kinase A phosphoacceptor/dimerization domain, and a histidine kinase-like ATPase domain “HATPase_c”. The results were downloaded and run through the USEARCH sequence analysis algorithm (Edgar, 2010) to cluster all proteins with > 70% amino acid sequence identity. A centroid representative from each cluster was retrieved and manually examined for proximity to a predicted response regulator and a tetrathionate or thiosulfate utilization
gene. From this list, one putative thiosulfate and tetrathionate candidate was chosen for gene synthesis and validation based on the characteristics described in the results.

Molecular biology

The shal_3128, shal_3129, sbal195_3858, and sbal195_3859 genes were codon-optimized for expression in E. coli and synthesized by IDT. The inducible RR plasmids were created by cloning the synthesized RRss, Shal_3129 and Shal195_3858, under the P_Lac promoter on a ColE1 backbone with chloramphenicol resistance and constitutively expressed TetR. The full intergenic region upstream of the thiosulfate and tetrathionate reductases (P_ProA342 and P_TtrS344) was synthesized by IDT as the output promoters and was cloned upstream of sfGFP with BBa_B0034 as the RBS. The inducible SK plasmids were created by cloning the synthesized SKs, Shal_3128 and Shal195_3859, under the P_Lac promoter on a p15A backbone with spectinomycin resistance and constitutively expressed LacI. Cloning was performed in NEB-10b cells and sequence-verified plasmids were transformed into BW28357 (CGSC, Yale University) for in vitro aerobic characterization experiments.

Constitutive plasmids were created by removing the LacI and TetR cassettes and replacing the inducible promoters with constitutive promoters (Anderson promoter collection: http://parts.igem.org/Promoters/Catalog/Anderson) and designed RBSs (Farasat et al., 2014) for fine-tuning of protein expression. Promoters were selected to cover a wide range of predicted strengths. A strong constitutive mCherry marker was incorporated into the RR plasmids to allow for detection of our sensor bacteria from mouse samples. Sequence-verified plasmids were transformed into E. coli Nissle 1917 for use in in vitro anaerobic and mouse experiments.

All plasmids, truncations, and mutations were constructed using the Golden Gate cloning method (Engler et al., 2008). Freezer stocks of plasmid strains were prepared by growing a colony containing sequence-verified plasmid(s) in LB and the appropriate antibiotics (35 μg/ml chloramphenicol and/or 100 μg/ml spectinomycin) to OD600 = ~0.5, adding glycerol to a 15% v/v final concentration, and freezing at ~80°C.

In vitro aerobic experiments

Overnight cultures were started from freezer stocks in LB with the appropriate antibiotics. 50 μl of overnight culture was added to 3 ml M9 + glycerol (1× M9 salts, 0.4% v/v glycerol, 0.2% casamino acids, 2 mM MgSO4, and 100 μM CaCl2) and grown shaking at 37°C. All characterization experiments were performed aerobically. After 3 h, the cells were diluted to OD600 = 10^-4 in 3 ml M9 + glycerol + antibiotics, inducers and ligands [potassium tetrathionate (Sigma-Aldrich) or sodium thiosulfate heptahydrate (Sigma-Aldrich)] were added, and cells were grown for ~6 h to exponential phase (OD600 < 0.3). No xTc was required for optimal induction of either sensor. 75 μM IPTG was used for strains harboring pKD182 and 10 μM IPTG was used for strains with pKD227. Culture tubes were then removed from the incubator and placed in an ice water bath to stop growth. 50 μl of cell culture was added to 1 ml ice-cold PBS for flow cytometry analysis. All reported ThsSR and TtrSR with P_TtrS344 fluorescence values are cellular autofluorescence-subtracted.

**In vitro anaerobic experiments**

Freeze aliquots of exponentially growing cells were prepared by first diluting 100 μl of an overnight culture grown in M9 + 0.4% glycerol + antibiotics into 3 ml fresh media. After 3 h, the cells were diluted to OD600 = 10^-3 in 3 ml M9 + 0.4% glycerol + antibiotics and cells were grown to OD600 = ~0.133. Cells were mixed with filter-sterilized glycerol to a final concentration of 15% v/v glycerol and a final OD600 = 0.1, aliquoted into single use vials, and frozen at ~80°C.

M9 media (no glycerol or antibiotics) was equilibrated in an anaerobic chamber overnight prior to experiments. Freeze aliquot cells were added to anaerobic media to a final concentration of 0.4% glycerol (1:37.5 dilution with an initial OD600 = 2.67 × 10^-3) along with ligand. Cells were grown in an anaerobic chamber for 6 h at 37°C and placed in an ice water bath when finished. 50 μl of cells was added to 500 μl PBS + 1 mg/ml chloramphenicol to halt protein translation. Cells were incubated in a 37°C water bath for 1 h to allow maturation of sfGFP and mCherry fluorophores. Chloramphenicol resistance is encoded on the RR plasmid; however, 28.5-fold excess antibiotic was used relative to the plasmid maintenance concentration, which should be sufficient to overcome inactivation. Previous antibiotic screens using similar plasmid backbones identified chloramphenicol as the best performing translation inhibitor (EJ Olson, unpublished data). Additionally, time course experiments in these strains show that sfGFP fluorescence reaches a maximum at 1 h and is stable for up to 2 h when incubated with chloramphenicol but not without it (Appendix Fig S19). After fluorescent maturation, cells were placed in an ice water bath and analyzed by flow cytometry. Reported fluorescence values are not corrected for cellular autofluorescence.

**Ex vivo colon experiment**

Whole colons were removed from healthy C57BL/6 mice and tied at the ends with 5-0 Vicryl suture string (Ethicon, Somerville, NJ, USA). Fecal pellets were left in the colon intact in order to allow for a “native” environment for the sensor bacteria and ligands to interact. The colonic loops were submerged in anaerobically pre-reduced DMEM (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY, USA) with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). For the analysis of the sensor, concentrations of the ligands representing saturating concentrations (1 mM tetrathionate and 5 mM thiosulfate) were added to the media, and then 100 μl of the ligands in media was injected into the luminal space of the colon. In addition, 100 μl of the sensing bacteria was also injected into the luminal space, for a total of 10^7 colony-forming units (CFUs). The colons were incubated at 37°C for 6 h under anaerobic conditions, and then all external media and internal fecal slurry were collected on ice separately for analysis via flow cytometry for total GFP output.

**Dextran sodium sulfate mouse experiments**

Six- to eight-week-old male C57BL/6 mice were procured from the Center for Comparative Medicine (CCM) Production Colony at the Baylor College of Medicine in Houston, Texas. Mice were transferred to an established protocol that was approved by the Baylor College of Medicine Institutional Animal Care and Use Center for Gene Therapy.
Committee (IACUC). DSS-exposed mice were given 3% (w/v) DSS (MW = 36–50,000; MP Biomedicals) in drinking water ad libitum, and control mice were given untreated drinking water ad libitum. Mice were randomized into group according to co-housing within cages, by randomly selecting each cage for DSS treatment or control. Standard rodent diet (5% vitamin/PicoLab Select rodent Diet 5010F/6% Labdiet; > 17% protein, sulfur content = 0.21%) was provided ad libitum over the course of the study. Mice were treated with DSS for 5 days. On the final day of DSS treatment, fecal pellets were collected from all mice and control mice. These mice were then orally gavaged with either 109 CFUs of E. coli Nissle containing the sensor or 109 CFUs of E. coli Nissle containing a sensor with the RR inactivating mutation (D57A for thiosulfate and D55A for tetrathionate). Mice were randomly matched with sensors. 6 h after sensor gavage, fecal pellets were collected from all mice for GFP analysis via flow cytometry. Next, the mice were humanely euthanized and luminal contents from the proximal and distal portions of the colon were collected for sGFP analysis. Distal and rectal sections of the colon tissue were fixed in 10% neutral-buffered formalin for 24 h before transfer to 70% ethanol. These tissues were paraffin-embedded and hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining was performed for colitis scoring by the Texas Medical Center Digestive Diseases Center. Blinded histologic scoring was performed using previously described methods (Chas-saing et al., 2014). Briefly, a value is assigned from 1 (moderate) to 3 (severe) to evaluate each one of the following features: the extent of epithelial damage and the inflammatory infiltration in the mucosa, submucosa, and muscularis/serosa. The number obtained for each characteristic was multiply by 1 (focal), 2 (patchy), or 3 (diffuse), depending on the lesion extension, resulting in an overall score from 0 (no inflammation) to 36 (maximal inflammation).

Colon and fecal sample preparation

Contents of the proximal and distal colon and fecal samples, if available, were homogenized in 1 ml of PBS + 1 mg/ml chloramphenicol using a pipet tip. Samples were vortexed for 1 min and filtered through a 5-μm syringe filter (Pall Laboratory, VWR catalog number 28150-956) to remove solids and murine cells but allow passage of bacterial cells. An additional 1 ml of PBS + chloramphenicol was washed through the syringe filter to extract bacteria from the hold-up volume. Filtered samples were incubated for 1 h in a 37°C water bath to allow for maturation of fluorophores and were transferred to a 4°C refrigerator. Samples were analyzed by flow cytometry less than 24 h after sample collection. The Shapiro–Wilk test for normality was administered to the data for each DSS-treated and water control comparison. Any comparison for a non-normal distribution was made with the non-parametric Mann–Whitney U-test. For normal distributions, equality of variance was confirmed via Levene’s test and then directly compared with t-tests. All statistical testing was performed using R 3.2.3, in RStudio (R Core Team, 2015).

Flow cytometry and data analysis

Flow cytometry analysis was performed on a BD FACScan flow cytometer with a blue (488 nm, 30 mW) and yellow (561 nm, 50 mW) laser. Fluorescence was measured on three channels: FL1 with a 510/20-nm emission filter (GFP), FL2 with a 585/42-nm filter (GFP/mCherry), and FL3 with a 650-nm long-pass filter (mCherry). For pure E. coli culture experiments, cells were thresholded by an SSC profile characteristic of the strain used. Typical event rates were between 1,000 and 2,000 events per second for a total of 30,000 events. Mouse colon and fecal samples were both thresholded in the FL3 channel, to ignore counts with low mCherry-like fluorescence, and gated by an FSC/SSC scatter characteristic of E. coli Nissle. Data were collected for 5 min or for 30,000 counts within the gated population, whichever came first. Calibration particles (Spherotech, catalog RCP-30-20A) were run at the end of every experiment at the gain settings used for data collection.

After data acquisition, raw data were processed using FlowCal (Castillo-Hair et al., 2016). First, a standard curve was created from the calibration beads to convert arbitrary units into absolute fluorescence units (MEFL for FL1 and MECY for FL3). Second, data were gated by an FSC/SSC scatter profile characteristic of E. coli Nissle and by FL2 and FL3 fluorescence values, discarding counts with an FL2 value lower than 250 a.u. and an FL3 value lower than 9,000 MECY. Samples giving fewer than 250 counts by these standards were discarded. Overall, DSS-treated mice gave more counts/sample and usable samples than untreated mice.

Hill function fitting

The transfer functions were obtained by fitting the averaged fluorescence values at each ligand concentration to the Hill equation, $F = A + B/(1 + (L/k_{1/2})^n)$, where $F$ is the fluorescence at a given ligand concentration $L$, $k_{1/2}$ is the concentration of agonist that elicits a half-maximal response, $n$ is the Hill coefficient, $A$ is the fit of the minimum response with no ligand, and $B$ is the fit of the maximum fluorescence response at saturating ligand concentration.
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